

: CIVIL ACTION

$$\vdots$$

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

1 The *Report and Recommendation* is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**,¹ as corrected;

0.41. In both the B and L B. *locus* under the uniform standard of

County of Chester, Maryland, ss. I, John J. Hays, Clerk of the District Court of Chester County, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original as the same appears in the records of said Court.

2. Petitioner's petition for a writ of *habeas corpus* is **DISMISSED**; and
3. A certificate of appealability is **DENIED**.

The Clerk of Court is directed to mark this matter **CLOSED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Nitza I. Quiñones Alejandro

NITZA I. QUIÑONES ALEJANDRO

Judge, United States District Court

this timeliness argument, thoroughly discussed the applicable statute of limitations, and calculated that the time to file the petition would have expired on July 8, 2016, and that Petitioner filed his petition, under the mailbox rule, on June 27, 2016. [ECF 8 at 3-5 n.2]. Thus, the petition was filed timely. Despite this careful analysis, and after addressing Petitioner's five claims, the *Report and Recommendation* concluded that the petition should be dismissed as time-barred. (*Id.* at 31). This is clearly a drafting or typographical mistake, and does not undercut the Magistrate Judge's well-reasoned detailed analysis of each of Petitioner's claims, and his recommendation that each claim be dismissed as meritless or procedurally defaulted. Consequently, this Court adopts the *Report and Recommendation* with the noted correction and finds no merit to any of Petitioner's claims for the reasons set forth in the report.